School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2021/22 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School:	LAM TAI FAI COLLEGE		
Staff-in-charge: MR HO KA	LUNG	Contact Telephone No.:	27861990

- A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is 172 (including A.14 CSSA recipients, B. 83 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 21 under school's discretionary quota).
- B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

*Name / Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #		Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)	
	A	В	С						
Student Union	14	83	21	100%	Sept 2021 - Aug 2022	\$2360	Participation Rate of Target StudentsTeachers' Observation	NA	/
Speech Festival (English)	0	5	6	100%	Oct 2021	\$1 637.5	Participation Rate of Target StudentsTeachers' Observation	NA	/
Speech Festival (Chinese)	1	2	4	100%	Oct 2021	\$1 037.5	 Participation Rate of Target Students Teachers' Observation 	NA	/
Uniform Group	2	9	5	100%	April 2022	\$16 780	Participation Rate of Target StudentsTeachers' Observation	NA	/
Total no. of activities:									
@No. of man-times	17	99	46		Total Expenses				
**Total no. of man-times	162			21815					

Note:

^{*} Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[@] Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA(A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

C.Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

	Improved			No		Not
Please put a "√" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness	1	1		1	1	
a) Students' motivation for learning			✓			
b) Students' study skills		✓				
c) Students' academic achievement			✓			
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom		✓				
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness			✓			
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem	✓					
g) Students' self-management skills	✓					
h) Students' social skills	✓					
i) Students' interpersonal skills	✓					
j) Students' cooperativeness with others		✓				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling	✓					
l) Students' outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social development	✓					
Community Involvement						
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities		✓				
o) Students' sense of belonging		✓				
p) Students' understanding on the community	_	✓				
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement		✓				

D. Comments on the project conducted Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box) unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to decide on the 10% discretionary quota; eligible students unwilling to join the programmes; the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory; the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB; the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others (Please specify): Are they satisfied with

the service provided? (optional)